RE: Support for H.3368 & S.936 relative to the privacy of a decedent’s electronic communications

Dear Chair Eldridge, Chair Cronin, and members of the committee:

We write to support H.3368 & S.936.

We recognize the need of fiduciaries to wrap-up estates, and some of the challenges fiduciaries face when it comes to accessing electronic communications of the deceased. At the same time we need to respect the privacy expectations of the deceased, the privacy of those with whom they communicated, and federal privacy law. To that end, H.3368 & S.936 achieves the balance for all these concerns.

Under H.3368 & S.936, Massachusetts would allow its citizens to choose their afterlife privacy while allowing the fiduciary to wrap-up the estate and comply with federal law.


Under H.3368 & S.936:

- The privacy expectations, statements in a will, and settings chosen by users would remain after the user dies.
- Fiduciaries can see the banks, investment managers, and accountants with whom the deceased corresponded. This lets fiduciaries identify important interactions and contact those institutions as part of settling the estate.
- Fiduciaries can see the contents of communications only when the deceased expressly allowed it in their will, or when there is some other evidence of user consent. If the deceased allowed disclosure of these communications, then service providers must comply, subject to verification.

For all these reasons, we support H.3368 & S.936. Thank you for considering our views. Please let us know if we can provide further information.

Sincerely,

Carl Szabo
Vice President and General Counsel
NetChoice
cszabo@netchoice.org
202-420-7485

Christina Fisher
Executive Director, Massachusetts and the Northeast TechNet
cfisher@technet.org
508-397-4358
46 States have already enacted the Uniform Laws Commission's Revised Uniform Fiduciary Access to Digital Assets Act (RUFADA)