

AB 886—California Journalism Preservation Act



Despite recently proposed amendments, NetChoice continues to strongly **oppose** AB 886—the California Journalism Preservation Act.

AB 886 will preserve neither journalism nor competition. To the contrary, it will silence small voices by using State power to prop up—and influence—Big Media Dynasties opposed to competition.

AB 886 gives the **State of California the power to decide what counts as journalism**. AB 886 violates this country's founding principle of a free press, protected from government intrusion and censorship.

- Under AB 886, the State decides who's an "eligible" journalist and broadcaster. Even setting aside this flagrant violation of the First Amendment, the State's proud admission that this bill will benefit the "eligible" press's finances creates more than just an appearance of corruption—it creates endless opportunities for it to occur.
- Destroys independent journalism. It is reasonable to worry that some might not want to bite the hand that feeds them – as AB 886 explicitly allows 30% of funds collected to pad their bottom line. That's a mighty strong financial incentive.
- ❖ There is no expectation that reporters will see an increase in pay. All AB 866 does is make sure that profits go up, not more money for higher wages or more journalists.
- AB 866 will further erode the public's trust in both the media and in democracy. Whether coverage is affected or not, the public will rightly question the media's coverage of California's government and elected officials.
- AB 886 will be enforced with **partisan goals in mind**. This isn't only about left versus right; it's also establishment media versus upstarts. Under AB 886, the **rich news outlets will crush their startup competitors**, reinforcing not only the media's existing cartel over news distribution but also the control of a select group of corporate media giants.
- AB 886 might as well be called the California Media Dynasty Protection by Destruction of Local Journalism Act. The bill remarkably excludes small, often local, papers (those earning less than \$100,000 per year—the truly small outlets the bill is allegedly meant to help). Hence why papers like the *Times of San Diego* oppose AB 866.