

The NetChoice Doctrine

Protecting online freedom and digital liberty

WHAT DOES THE SUPREME COURT'S RULING IN THE **NETCHOICE CASES** MEAN FOR THE FUTURE OF FREE SPEECH AND INNOVATION?

The Supreme Court upheld America's unparalleled protections for free speech, including for the world's most important communications tool, the internet.

A LANDMARK VICTORY FOR FREE EXPRESSION ONLINE



FREE SPEECH ENDURES WITH INNOVATION

- The First Amendment and its core principles apply to new and evolving tech, including social media. Those principles don't vary with new technologies and innovations.
- Content moderation by online services is an expressive choice protected by the First Amendment.
- The fundamental tenets of free speech are as relevant in the digital age as they were in the era of print newspapers.



GOVERNMENT CAN'T VIOLATE SPEECH RIGHTS ONLINE

- Government cannot violate speech rights to achieve its desired ideological balance.
- Bureaucrats and politicians cannot determine what constitutes 'fair' or 'balanced' speech online. That's not the American way, and it violates the First Amendment.
- The First Amendment protects all of us and our speech from government control.



FREE MARKETS & IDEAS ARE PROTECTED

- Websites engage in First Amendment-protected editorial discretion when they choose when and how to moderate content online.
- This allows websites to compete for users and advertisers based on how they choose to moderate content, as we see with TruthSocial, X, Reddit, and more.
- The Court has ensured that the internet can remain a dynamic, evolving space for communication and ideas.
- This is a win for every American who values free expression and free enterprise online.

Learn more at netchoice.org

NetChoice